

Report to: South London Waste Partnership (SLWP)

Joint Waste Committee

Date: 2 April 2019

Report of: South London Waste Partnership Management Group

Author(s):

Michael Mackie, Finance Lead

Chair of the Meeting:

Cllr M Brunt

### Report title:

### SOUTH LONDON WASTE PARTNERSHIP BUDGET UPDATE MONTH 11 2018/19

### **Summary**

This paper provides an update on the Partnership's budget position for month 11 (February) of the financial year and the projected outturn for the 2018/19 financial year.

#### Recommendations

To note the content of this report.

# **Background Documents and Previous Decisions**

Previous budget reports.

# 1. Background

- 1.1 The Partnership sets it budget in December for the forthcoming financial year.
- 1.2 The budget is monitored by Management Group every month to allow the budgets to be flexed where appropriate in order to respond to any budget pressures.

#### 2. Financial Position 2018/19

2.1 The table below refers to the Partnership's budget position for its Strategic Management activities for month 11 (February) of the 2018/19 financial year.

It relates to expenditure in the following areas; procurement, project management, administration, contract management and communications.

Item	Approved Budget £	Actuals & Commitment s	Anticipate d Outturn £	Variance £
Internal and External Advisors	175,000	107,673	118,000	(57,000)
Project & Contract Management	500,000	492,850	534,000	34,000
Document and Data Management	24,000	24,577	24,600	600
Communications	25,000	7,845	25,000	0
TOTAL	724,000	632,945	701,600	(22,400)
COST PER BOROUGH	181,000	158,236	175,400	(5,600)

- 2.2 The Partnership's budget for core functions forecasts an under spend for the year of £22,400 (£5,600 per borough). The major variances are detailed below
- 2.3 External advisors underspend of £57k mainly due to the ERF contract not requiring the additional external advice budgeted as contingency.
- 2.4 Project and contract management overspend by £34k due to additional cost of maternity cover, the evaluated grades of new posts through the changed structure last year being higher than budgeted and the impact of increments.

#### 3. Recommendations:

3.1 To note the content of this report.

# 4. Impacts and Implications:

Finance

4.1 Contained within report.